

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/827,942	04/06/2001	Ray Alan Mentzer	10004068-1	6687	
57299	7590 11/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.			AGGARWAL	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
P.O. BOX 193 DENVER, C	20 O 80201-1920		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2622		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/827,942	MENTZER, RAY	ALAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMM FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n on. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to beco	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 August 2006.					
	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)□	/ —	nis application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•				
4)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.						
7)	,						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiremen	t.				
Applicat	ion Papers .						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received	l.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have t	peen received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Bu	. , ,,					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 10, 16, 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobusch et al. (US Patent # 6,850,276) in view of Gowda et al. (US Patent # 6,275,259).

[Claim 1]

Dobusch et al. teaches a method of correcting erroneous image signals comprising providing a signal that is working value of the gain V(x-1) that is based on the preceding sensor element P(x-1) is used for the following sensor element P(x) from a set of image signals that represent a single capture scene of interest (col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 2 lines 30-62, col. 3 lines 10-22, Abstract, figure 1),

and digitizing an analog signal of a current pixel using said gain V(x) based on the previous value as a reference to derive a digitized signal of said current pixel within said signal range (col. 2 lines 52-54, col. 2 lines 63-67), including limiting said analog signal of said current pixel by said working value of the gain V(x) that is based on the preceding sensor element, said

analog signal of said current pixel being another image signal from said set of image signals (col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 3 lines 10-22, figures 1, 3).

Dobusch teaches providing gain V(x-1) that is based on the preceding sensor element P(x-1) is used for the following sensor element P(x) but fails to teach providing a high signal and a low signal based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel.

However Gowda teaches providing a high signal and a low signal (Vmax and Vmin) based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel (col. 2 line 30-col. 3 line 10, col. 4 lines 14-22, figure 1).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Dobusch and Gowda, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a high signal and a low signal based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel into the system of Dobusch as taught in Gowda in order to use the full dynamic range of the A/D converter thereby avoiding the overflow and underflow conditions as taught in Gowda (col. 3 line 50-col. 4 line 5). [Claim 2]

Gowda teaches a step of converting said image signal of said previously processed pixel to said high signal and said low signal (figure 1, Vmax and Vmin).

[Claim 3]

Gowda teaches wherein said step of converting said image signal of said previously processed pixel includes digital-to-analog converting (figure 1, DAC 110) said image signal of said previously processed pixel to said high signal and said low signal (figure 1, Vmax and Vmin), wherein said high and low signals are generated as voltages.

[Claims 9, 10]

These are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1 and 3 respectively. Therefore they have been analyzed and rejected based upon method claims 1, 3.

[Claim 17]

Dobusch teaches a method of correcting erroneous image signals during analog-to-digital conversion comprising a sensor array of photosensitive pixels (figure 1, pixel P1 to Pn), each of said photosensitive pixels being configured to accumulate an analog image signal when exposed to light (col. 2 lines 30-40) and an analog-to-digital converter unit (combination of 2-5) operatively coupled to said sensor array to receive analog image signals from said photosensitive pixels, said analog-to-digital converter unit comprising a digital-to-analog converter (figure 1, controller 4 will inherently have a DAC that outputs a previous gain V(x-1) that has values 4, 2, 2 etc. based upon the output of A/D converter 3). Dobusch teaches that the value of preceding gain V(x-1) is used for the following sensor to define a working range about said image signal of said previously processed pixel that represent a single capture scene of interest (col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 2 lines 30-62, col. 3 lines 10-22, Abstract, figure 1),

an analog-to-digital converter (figure 1, ADC 3), said analog-to digital converter being configured to digitize an analog signal of a current pixel (output of pixel array P1 to Pn) using said preceding gain V(x-1) as references to derive a digitized signal of said current pixel within said signal range, including limiting said analog signal of said current pixel by said high and low signals col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 3 lines 10-22, figures 1, 3).

Dobusch teaches providing gain V(x-1) that is based on the preceding sensor element P(x-1) is used for the following sensor element P(x) but fails to teach providing a high signal and a low signal based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel.

However Gowda teaches providing a high signal and a low signal (Vmax and Vmin) based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel (col. 2 line 30-col. 3 line 10, col. 4 lines 14-22, figure 1).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Dobusch and Gowda, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a high signal and a low signal based on an image signal of a previously processed pixel into the system of Dobusch as taught in Gowda in order to use the full dynamic range of the A/D converter thereby avoiding the overflow and underflow conditions as taught in Gowda (col. 3 line 50-col. 4 line 5). [Claim 6]

Dobusch in view of Gowda is silent as to the type of analog-to-digital converter, however Official notice is taken of the fact that it is notoriously common to have a flash analog-to-digital converter be used for digitizing a current pixel in order to make the overall process faster. Therefore taking the combined teachings of Dobusch, Gowda and Official notice, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have flash analog-to-digital converter be used for digitizing a current pixel. The benefit of doing so would be because flash A/Ds have high input bandwidth and very high speeds in the 1 to 4-Gsample/s range. [As applicant has not traversed the old and well known statement above, the use of a flash analog-to-digital converter is taken as admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03(c)] [Claim16]

This is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claim 6. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon method claim 6.

[Claim 21]

This claim is substantially similar to claim 16. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon claim 16.

4. Claims 4, 5, 8, 11-14, 18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobusch et al. (US Patent #6,850,276), Gowda et al. (US Patent #6,275,259) in view of Kim (US Patent # 6,587,144).

[Claims 4 and 5]

Dobusch teaches that is working value of the gain V(x-1) that is based on the preceding sensor element P(x-1) is used for the following sensor element P(x) from a set of image signals that represent a single capture scene of interest (col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 2 lines 30-62, col. 3 lines 10-22, Abstract, figure 1), but fails to teach ".... Wherein a step of comparing said analog signal of said current pixel with an analog signal of a previously processed pixel and further comprising a step of converting said image signal of said previously processed pixel to said high signal and said low signal, wherein said high and low signals are dependent on said comparing of said analog signal of said current pixel with said analog signal of said previously processed pixel".

However Kim teaches comparing (figure 1, element 42) a present black level signal (read as current pixel signal value) and a preset black reference value (read as previously processed pixel value) to up or down values so that the DC voltage level of the signal is adjusted (col. 2) lines 12-23)[DC voltage ca be either high or low and therefore can be read as high and low signals which are dependent on the comparison between a present black level and preset black reference value].

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Dobusch, Gowda and Kim, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have

comparing said analog signal of said current pixel with an analog signal of a previously processed pixel and further comprising a step of converting said image signal of said previously processed pixel to said high signal and said low signal, wherein said high and low signals are dependent on said comparing of said analog signal of said current pixel with said analog signal of said previously processed pixel. The benefit of doing so would be to correct the black level due to an incorrect pixel as taught in Kim (col. 2 lines 20-21).

Page 7

[Claim 8]

Gowda teaches wherein said image signal of said previously processed pixel is a digital signal (output of ADC 104) but fails to teach "..., wherein said image signal has more bits than said digitized signal of said current pixel". However Kim teaches that the A/d converter output has 10 bits as compared to a 6-bit black level reference value (col. 4 lines 25-30). Therefore taking the combined teachings of Gowda and Kim, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have an image signal having more bits than said digitized signal of said current pixel. The benefit of doing so would be to vary the black reference value as needed as taught in Kim (col. 4 lines 25-26).

[Claim 11]

This is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claim 8. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon method claim 8.

[Claim 12]

Gowda teaches a 8-bit D/A and A/d converter but does not disclose a 10-bit D/A and 7-bit A/D converter. However Official notice is taken of the fact that a 10 bit D/A and 7-bit A/D converter is well known in the art in order to have more sensitivity. Therefore taking the combined

Application/Control Number: 09/827,942

Art Unit: 2622

teachings of Dobusch, Gowda, Kim and Official notice, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used a seven-bit value. The benefit of doing so would be to have a circuit, which has high sensitivity. [As applicant has not traversed the old and well known statement above, the use of a 10 bit D/A and 7-bit A/D converter is taken as admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03(c)]

[Claim 13]

This is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claims 4 and 5. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon method claims 4 and 5.

[Claim 14]

Claim 14 recites what was discussed with respect to claim 12.

[Claim 18]

This claim is substantially similar to claim 11. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon claim 11.

[Claim 19]

This claim is substantially similar to claim 13. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon claim 13.

5. Claims 7, 15, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobusch et al. (US Patent # 6,850,276), Gowda et al. (US Patent # 6,275,259) in view of Embler (US Patent # 6,654,054).

[Claim 7]

Gowda teaches that the digitized signal is based upon the previously processed pixel as discussed in claim 1 but fails to teach ".... a step of adding a conversion signal to said digitized signal of

said current pixel". However Embler teaches that an anti-noise signal is added to the digital signal (col. 11 lines 32-38). Therefore taking the combined teachings of Dobusch, Gowda and Embler, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a step of adding a conversion signal to said digitized signal of said current pixel. The benefit of doing so would be to ensure an appropriate that an appropriate noise signal is cancelled as taught in Embler (col. 11 lines 32-38).

[Claim 15]

This is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claim 7. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon method claim 7.

[Claim 20]

This claim is substantially similar to claim 15. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon claim 15.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/827,942

Art Unit: 2622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 10

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 7.

supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571)-272-7304. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA

October 20, 2006